

Message

From: Peachey, Robert [peachey.robert@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/2/2019 3:31:50 PM
To: Mike T. Kitson [mtk@pattersonbuchanan.com]
CC: Mannix, John [mannixj@monroe.wednet.edu]; Patrick S. Schoenburg [pschoenburg@wshblaw.com]; Scott I. Jamieson [sij@pattersonbuchanan.com]; Jennifer R. Friesen [jrf@pattersonbuchanan.com]; Moore, Kendall [moore.kendall@epa.gov]; Ramanauskas, Peter [ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov]; Mullin, Michelle [Mullin.Michelle@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: SVEC (Meeting follow up)

Dear Mr. Kitson:

I wanted to follow up on Kendall Moore's requests to John Mannix below, as well as on our conversation on May 3. Mr. Mannix told us that Devlin Piplic would be able to respond to Kendall's requests as early as April 16, but we haven't heard anything.

In addition, please let me know about the status of the District's conversations with the plaintiffs about disposing of the PCB-containing materials in the shed.

Thanks,
--Bob Peachey

Robert M. Peachey
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA Region 5 (C-14J)
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, Illinois 60604
Phone: (312) 353.4510
Fax: (312) 692.2422
E-mail: peachey.robert@epa.gov

This email and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt or prohibited from disclosure under applicable law, and they are for the exclusive and confidential use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, print, distribute, or take action in reliance upon this email: notify the sender immediately by return email and promptly delete or otherwise destroy the email and any attachments. U.S. EPA does not waive any privilege by transmission of this email.

From: Moore, Kendall <moore.kendall@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 2:04 PM
To: John Mannix <mannixj@monroe.wednet.edu>; Piplic, Devlin <piplicd@monroe.wednet.edu>
Cc: Peachey, Robert <peachey.robert@epa.gov>; Mullin, Michelle <Mullin.Michelle@epa.gov>; Ramanauskas, Peter <ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov>
Subject: SVEC (Meeting follow up)

Hi John, thanks for meeting with us yesterday. The information you provided was very helpful. To follow up, below are the questions we'd like you and Devlin to answer. We hope to get a quick response but let us know how much time you think you'll need. We look forward to working with you to resolve any outstanding PCB issues in a timely fashion.

Please provide the following:

- contact information for the attorneys representing the school district
- protective order or similar court document that restricted disposal of PCB drum

- explanation of what's in the drum, whether the material came from SVEC or not, if it came from SVEC then where specifically the material came from, when was the PCB material discovered, when collection started, when collection ended, why you were waiting for the barrel to fill- what other PCB activities are you engaged in that you were expecting to accumulate, why it's marked 12/18, description of where its stored (does area have roof, walls, continuous floor without drains), is area marked with PCB label (please provide photos)
- Explain where the sample from the transportation shed was collected. The letter we have states it came from a lighting fixture base plate and presumes it was from a ruptured FLB used at SVEC and later stored in the shed. The question EPA has is where in the shed was this sample found?
- Describe whether there are PCB FLBs in use in the transportation shed or not, if so, is there any evidence of leaking
- Confirm whether you are following the MMIP incorporated into the approval, particularly the housekeeping provisions.